Cupric Sulfate Pentahydrate: A Mild and Efficient Catalyst for the Chemoselective Synthesis of 1,1-Diacetates from Aldehydes in a Solvent-Free System

Majid M. Heravi^{*}, Shima Taheri, Khadijeh Bakhtiari, and Hossein A. Oskooie

Department of Chemistry, School of Sciences, Azzahra University, Vanak, Tehran, Iran

Received September 26, 2005; accepted (revised) October 29, 2005 Published online July 3, 2006 © Springer-Verlag 2006

Summary. Cupric sulfate pentahydrate was found to be an efficient catalyst for the protection of aldehydes as 1,1-diacetates in high yields in a solvent-free system at room temperature. Ketones are not affected under these reaction conditions.

Keywords. 1,1-Diacetates; CuSO₄·5H₂O; Protection; Acylals; Aldehydes.

Introduction

The protection-deprotection reaction sequence constitutes an integral part of organic synthesis such as the preparation of monomers, fine chemicals, and reaction intermediates or precursors for pharmaceuticals. These reactions often involve the use of acidic, basic, or hazardous reagents and toxic metal salts [1].

Acylals or 1,1-diacetates are synthetically useful as aldehyde protecting groups [2]. They are an alternative to acetals due to their stability in mildly acidic and basic media and ease of chemoselective preparation in the presence of ketones [3]. In recent years, a number of different methods have been reported for the synthesis of 1,1-diacetates and the regeneration of their parent aldehydes [4]. However, many of these methods suffer from one or more drawbacks, such as long reaction times, high catalyst to substrate ratio, use of volatile organic solvents, or large amounts of solid supports, which eventually result in the generation of a large amount of toxic waste, expensive reagents, unsatisfactory yields, and tedious work-up procedures. Nevertheless, it is still desirable to develop alternative and milder conditions for the preparation of acylals and to remove this protecting group.

Corresponding author. E-mail: mmh1331@yahoo.com

In recent years the use of inorganic reagents under solvent-free conditions has rapidly increased, as these reactions often involve milder conditions, easier work-up, and higher selectivity than similar reactions using organic reagents in solution [5, 6].

In continuation of our program to develop reactions in solvent-free systems [7–12], herein we report a simple and highly efficient process for the synthesis of 1,1-diacetates.

Results and Discussion

Treatment of an aldehyde with acetic anhydride in the present of 10 mol% of $CuSO₄·5H₂O$ in the absence of solvent at room temperature afforded the corresponding geminal diacetate derivative in very high yields (Scheme 1).

The presence of electron donating and electron withdrawing groups on the aromatic ring makes a difference to the reaction rates for the synthesis of 1,1 diacetates. Substrates having electron-donating groups in the para position of the aromatic ring underwent the reactions in a short period of time (Table 1, entries 4 and 5), whereas electron-withdrawing groups lead to higher reaction times (Table 1, entries 7–9).

It is noteworthy to mention that ketones such as acetophenone or benzophenenone did not react under the same conditions (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). This

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n0 & \text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O (10 mol\%)} & OAC \\
\downarrow & & Ac_2\text{O, rt} & R\n\end{array}
$$

Scheme 1

Entry	Substrate	Time min	Yield ^a	mp \circ C	
			$\%$	found	reported
	Isobutyraldehyde	10	85	$-b$	
2	Butyraldehyde	15	87	$-{}^{\rm b}$	
3	Benzaldehyde	15	99	43	$44 - 45$ [13]
$\overline{4}$	4-Methylbenzaldehyde	20	99	80	$81 - 82$ [14]
5	4-Methoxybenzaldehyde	20	99	63	$64 - 65$ [15]
6	4-Chlorobenzaldehyde	30	99	81	$81 - 82$ [16]
7	2-Nitrobenzaldehye	120	99	89	90 [17]
8	3-Nitrobenzaldehye	90	98	65	$64 - 66$ [15]
9	4-Nitrobenzaldehye	150	98	125	$125 - 126$ [15]
10	Cinnamaldehyde	15	96	86	$84 - 86$ [13]
11	Furfuraldehyde	5	98	53	52-54 [18]
12	Acetophenone	No reaction			
13	Benzophenone	No reaction			

Table 1. Synthesis of 1,1-diacetates using $CuSO₄ \cdot 5H₂O$

 a Yields refer to isolated pure products; b oily products were obtained

Chemoselective Synthesis of 1,1-Diacetates 1077

proved that a chemoselective protection of aldehydes in the presence of ketones could be achieved with this procedure (Scheme 2).

In conclusion, we developed a convenient and efficient procedure for the synthesis of acylals using catalytic amounts of $CuSO₄·5H₂O$ under mild reaction conditions at room temperature. Some of the major advantages of this procedure are very good yields, use of an inexpensive, nontoxic, readily available, and mild Lewis acid catalyst, absence of volatile and hazardous solvents, and high chemoselectivity.

Experimental

Melting points were measured by using the capillary tube method with an electrothermal 9100 apparatus. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-90 AVANCE spectrometer using TMS as internal standard (CDCl₃ solution). IR spectra were recorded from KBr disks on the FT-IR Bruker Tensor 27. All products are known compounds and were identified by comparison of their spectra and physical data with those of Refs. [13–18].

Preparation of Acylals, General Procedure

Cupric sulfate pentahydrate (10 mol%) was treated with 1 mmol aldehyde and 3 mmol acetic anhydride. The slurry mixture was stirred for the indicated time (Table 1) at room temperature. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was filtered off and washed with 5 cm^3 Et₂O. Then the filtrate was washed with 5 cm^3 5% NaHCO₃ solution and 2×5 cm³ brine successively, and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified over silica gel by column chromatography to provide the pure product.

References

- [1] Green TW, Wuts PGM (1991) Protective groups in organic synthesis, 2nd ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York
- [2] a) Green TW, Wuts PGM (1999) Protective groups in organic synthesis, 3rd ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York; b) Kocienski PJ (1994) Protecting groups, Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgurt, New York
- [3] a) Aggen DH, Hayes AJN, Hayen PD, Smoter NJ, Mohan RS (2004) Tetrahedron 60: 3675; b) Chakroborti AK, Thilagavathi R, Kumar R (2004) Synthesis 831; c) Smitha G, Reddy CS (2003) Tetrahedron 59: 9571; d) Romanelli GP, Thomas HJ, Baronetti GT, Autino JC (2003) Tetrahedron Lett 44: 1301; e) Firouzabadi H, Iranpoor N, Nowrouzi F, Amani K (2003) Tetrahedron Lett 44: 3951; f) Karimi B, Maleki J (2003) J Org Chem 68: 4951
- [4] a) Zang M, Li Y (2005) J Chem Res 171; b) Negron GE, Palacios LN, Angeles D, Lomas L, Gavino RJ (2005) Braz Chem Soc 16: 490 and references cited therein; c) Zhang ZH, Yin L, Wang YM, Liu JY, Li Y (2004) Green Chem 6: 563 and references cited therein; d) Ranu BC, Dutta J, Das A (2003) Chem Lett 32: 366; e) Jankovic L, Komadel P (2003) J Catal 218: 227
- [5] Zhang ZH, Yin L, Li Y, Wang YM (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46: 889
- [6] a) Romanelli GP, Bennardi D, Ruiz DM, Baronetti G,Thomas HJ, Autino JC (2004) Tetrahedron Lett 45: 8935; b) Saxena I, Borah DC, Sarma JC (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46: 1159; c) Kumara Swamy KC, Kumaraswamy S, Senthil KK, Muthiah C (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46: 3347; d) Valizadeh H, Shockravi A (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46: 3501; e) Cho BT, Kang SK (2005) Tetrahedron 61: 5725; f) Taguchi K, Sakaguchi S, Ishii Y (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46: 4539
- [7] Heravi MM, Hekmatshoar R, Pedram L (2005) J Mol Catal A: Chemical 231: 89
- [8] Heravi MM, Hekmatshoar R, Beheshtiha YS, Ghassemzadeh M (2001) Monatsh Chem 132: 651
- [9] Heravi MM, Ajami D, Mojtahedi MM, Ghassemzadeh M (1999) Tetrahedron Lett 40: 561
- [10] Shockravi A, Shargi H, Valizadeh H, Heravi MM (2002) Phosph Sulf Silic Relat Elem 177: 2555
- [11] Mojtahedi MM, Saidi MR, Bolourtchian M, Heravi MM (2002) Phosph Sulf Silic Relat Elem 177: 289
- [12] Heravi MM, Tajbakhsh M, Habibzadeh S, Ghassemzadeh M (2002) Phosph Sulf Silic Relat Elem 177: 2299
- [13] Kochhar KS, Bal BS, Deshpande RP, Rajadhyksha SN, Pinnick HW (1983) J Org Chem 48: 1765
- [14] Jin T-S, Du G-Y, Li T-S (1998) Ind J Chem Sect B 939
- [15] Zhang Z-H, Li T-S, Fu C-G (1997) J Chem Res (S) 174
- [16] Jin T-S, Ma Y-R, Sun X, Liang D, Li T-S (2000) J Chem Res (S) 96
- [17] Dictionary of Organic Compounds (1982) Chapman and Hall, New York, 5th edn. 4: 4224
- [18] Kumar P, Hegde VR, Kumar TP (1995) Tetrahedron Lett 36: 601